with $I \geq 2.5 \sigma(I)$ used in refinement. Standard reflections 221 (I), 131 (II) checked every 50 reflections: no significant deviation. Empirical absorption correction factor between 0.9 and 1.1 (I), 0.97 and 1.26 (II). Structures solved with SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). H atoms from difference Fourier synthesis. Anisotropic least-squares refinement (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976) using $F$. H isotropic with common refined temperature factor $\left[B=6 \cdot 5\right.$ (I), $4.4 \AA^{2}$ (II)]. $w=$ $1 /\left(\sigma^{2}+g F^{2}\right), g=0.0002(\mathrm{I}), 0.0037$ (II). $R=0.041$, $w R=0.046$ for 2801 observed reflections (I) and $R=0.045, w R=0.053$ for 1537 observed reflections (II). Final $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.07$ (I), 0.5 (II); $S=2.74$ (I), 1.0 (II). Max. and min. heights in final difference Fourier synthesis $=0.21$ and -0.31 e $\AA^{-3}(\mathrm{I}), 0.17$ and $-0.45 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ (II). Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).

Discussion. The atomic parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2,* the bond distances in the rings in Table 3, and the endocyclic torsion angles in Table 4. Figs. 1 and 2 give stereoscopic views of the molecules (Motherwell \& Clegg, 1978).

[^0]In the two compounds the five-membered rings exhibit envelope conformations with $\mathrm{C}(9)$ for (I) and $\mathrm{C}(1)$ for (II) at the flap. In compound (I), the six-membered ring has a chair conformation flattened in the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ moiety with an approximate mirror plane passing through $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$. In compound (II), the seven-membered ring exhibits a twist-chair conformation with a twofold axis passing through $\mathrm{C}(7)$ and the middle point of the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ bond.

The ring fusions in the two compounds are cis and the phenylsulfonyl substituents are in exo positions.

JPD thanks the SPPS (Belgium) for financial support.

## References

De Lombaert, S., Nemery, I., Roekens, B., Carretero, J. C., Kimmel, T. \& Ghosez, L. (1986). Tetrahedron Lett. 27, 5099-5100.
International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
Motherwell, S. \& Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. In Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger \& R. Goddard, pp. 175-189. Oxford Univ. Press.

Acta Cryst. (1987). C43, 2148-2151

# The First Structurally Characterized Monoalkylthioborane. Structure of 1,3,5-Tri(tert-butyl)cyclotriborathiane 
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Abstract. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}, M_{r}=306$, orthorhombic, Pbca , $a=15.577$ (3), $b=18.327$ (4), $c=27.791$ (5) $\AA, V$ $=7933.7(26) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=16, \quad D_{m} \quad$ (by flotation) $=$ $1.07(1), D_{x}=1.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=2688$, Мо $K \alpha_{1}$, $\lambda=0.70926 \AA, \mu=3.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad T=293 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R=0.059$, $w R=0.058$ for 2918 reflections with $F_{o}^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)$. There are two crystallographically independent $\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{SBH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ molecules per asymmetric unit with no imposed symmetry. The B-S distances range from

[^1]0108-2701/87/112148-04\$01.50
1.915 (10) to 1.978 (10) $\AA$. The $B-S-B$ angles range from 103.3 (3) to $110.9(3)^{\circ}$ and the $S-B-S$ angles from $102 \cdot 6$ (4) to $111 \cdot 1(4)^{\circ}$.

Introduction. Recently, we have been exploring the chemical reactivity of early-transition-metal borohydride complexes toward various thiols with the purpose of synthesizing new complexes that contain $M-\mathrm{S}$ and/or $M-\mathrm{S} R$ bonds. In a preliminary communication, we have reported (Coucouvanis, Lester, Kanatzidis \& Kessissoglou, 1985) on the synthesis and characterization of $\left[\mathrm{Zr}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{4}\right]_{n}(\mathrm{THF})_{2} \quad(n=$ © 1987 International Union of Crystallography
$1,2, R=\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ ) which was obtained (for $n=1$ ) by the reaction of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{SH}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{4}+13 \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{SH}^{2 \mathrm{THF}} \mathrm{Zr}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} & (\mathrm{SBu})_{2}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{2} \\
& +13 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\frac{8}{3}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{SBH}_{2}\right)_{3}+3 \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The by-product of this reaction, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$, (I), is obtained in excellent yield ( $92 \%$ ). It is an air-stable moisture-sensitive white crystalline compound. The formation of (I) results from a reaction between $\mathrm{Bu}^{1} \mathrm{SH}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, which are both present in the reaction mixture. The reactions of thiols with boranes are well known, and the alkylthioborane products have been studied in some detail in the last two decades (Mikhailov, 1970). The alkylthioboranes are mostly white moisture-sensitive materials that, depending on stoichiometry and conditions, can exist as polymers, oligomers or monomers. Monoalkylthioboranes of the type $R \mathrm{SBH}_{2}$ are not known in simple monomeric forms, but primarily as polymers or trimers (e.g. Mikhailov, 1970; Muetterties, Miller, Packer \& Miller, 1964).

The title compound was first synthesized by Mikhailov (1970), and its properties were studied. It can exist in a polymeric form which, in tetrahydrofuran solutions, transforms to the trimer:

$$
\left[\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2} \mathrm{l}_{x} \rightarrow(x / 3) \mid\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3} .
$$

The structure of the trimeric $\mathrm{RSBH}_{2}$ compounds is presumed to be cyclic and fairly conclusive spectral evidence has been advanced for such a structure in $\left[(\mathrm{EtS}) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{Bu}) \mathrm{SBH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ (Muetterties et al., 1964). To the best of our knowledge, there has been no report of a crystal structure determination of any monoalkylthioborane compound to date. In this paper, we report the crystal and molecular structure of the title compound.

Experimental. Large well shaped colourless crystals of (I) can be grown by the slow cooling of a concentrated pentane solution to 253 K . An irregularly shaped fragment broken off from such a crystal (dimensions $0.40 \times 0.29 \times 0.58 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for the structure determination. The crystal was placed into a 0.5 mm glass capillary and mounted on a Nicolet P3 automated four-circle diffractometer. Accurate cell parameters of the orthorhombic cell were obtained from the least-squares refinement of the $2 \theta, \omega, \varphi, \chi$ angles of 25 high-angle ( $16<2 \theta<45^{\circ}$ ) machine-centred reflections. 5758 reflections were collected ( $2 \theta_{\text {max }}=45^{\circ}$ ) of which 5192 were unique. Data used in the refinement $\left[F_{o}^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)\right], 2918$. The minimum and maximum scan speeds were 5.0 and $29.3^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The number of variable parameters was 349 . The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, but not for absorption, owing to the small absorption coefficient. Intensities were collected only from the unique portions of the reciprocal-lattice sphere (one octant)
with $h k l$ values ranging $0 \rightarrow 18,0 \rightarrow 21,0 \rightarrow 32$ respectively. The space group was uniquely determined as Pbca from the systematic extinctions ( $0 k l k=2 n, h 0 l$ $l=2 n, h k 0 h=2 n$ ). During data collection, three standard reflections were monitored every 90 reflections. No significant crystal decay was detected. The structure was solved by direct methods (MULTAN; Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978) and routine SOLV of the SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1981) system. The six $S$ atoms of the two independent $\left[\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ molecules were revealed in the $E$ maps. With the locations of these atoms as a starting model, the rest of the non-hydrogen atoms were located via successive Fourier difference electron density maps. At this stage it was evident from the difference electron density map that one of the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups from each crystallographically independent molecule (axially disposed ones - see Discussion) showed rotational disorder around the $S(3)-C(9)$ and $S(6)-C(21)$ bonds respectively. The high isotropic thermal parameters of the C atoms of those $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups also supported this observation. The carbon atoms in the twofolddisordered tert-butyl groups were assigned occupancy factors of 0.5 and the structure was refined with isotropic temperature factors to an $R$ value of $7.2 \%$. The least-squares refinement continued with all nondisordered atoms assigned anisotropic temperature factors. Finally, the positions of the H atoms were calculated (except those attached to the disordered C atom) and included in the structure-factor calculation, but were not refined. The H atoms were assigned temperature factors of 0.08 (for those attached to the B atoms) and $0 \cdot 10$. The final $R$ values were $R=5.92 \%$ and $w R=5.81 \%$. The least-squares program minimizes the function $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}, w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)+\right.$ $\left.0.002\left(F_{o}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} . \quad(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.02 ; \quad-0.89 \leq \Delta \rho \leq$ $0.95 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors those of SHELXTL.

Discussion. Atomic parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table 1.* Bond distances and angles are given in Table 2. An ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules and the numbering scheme are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.
Each of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ molecules contains a $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ core which consists of a six-membered cyclic array of alternating B and S atoms. The six-membered $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings are puckered and assume a chair conformation. This conformation has been previously observed in the cyclic boron-nitrogen ring in $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NBH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{3}\right.$

[^2]Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters

|  | $U_{\mathrm{c4}}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \searrow_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{c q}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| S(1) | $0 \cdot 2209$ (1) | 0.2797 (1) | 0.0585 (1) | 0.0454 |
| S(2) | 0.2242 (1) | 0.1593 (1) | -0.0156 (1) | 0.0449 |
| S(3) | 0.0442 (1) | 0.2047 (1) | 0.0293 (1) | 0.0496 |
| S(4) | 0.4292 (1) | -0.0412 (1) | 0.2292 (1) | 0.0479 |
| S(5) | 0.4274 (1) | 0.0715 (1) | 0.1503 (1) | 0.0403 |
| S(6) | 0.8960 (1) | 0.1243 (1) | 0.2429 (1) | 0.0478 |
| B(1) | 0.2596 (6) | 0.2594 (5) | -0.0057 (3) | 0.0464 |
| B(2) | $0 \cdot 1016$ (7) | 0.1656 (6) | -0.0264 (4) | 0.0578 |
| B(3) | 0.0988 (6) | 0.2934 (5) | 0.0507 (4) | 0.0542 |
| B(4) | 0.4445 (8) | 0.0303 (5) | 0.2788 (4) | 0.0628 |
| B(5) | 0.4456 (7) | 0.1503 (5) | 0.1937 (3) | 0.0518 |
| B(6) | 0.4968 (7) | -0.0067 (5) | 0.1755 (3) | 0.0509 |
| C(1) | $0 \cdot 2652$ (6) | 0.3722 (5) | 0.0721 (3) | 0.0627 |
| C(2) | 0.3617 (6) | 0.3630 (5) | 0.0803 (4) | 0.0810 |
| C(3) | 0.2467 (7) | 0.4257 (5) | 0.0306 (4) | 0.0855 |
| C(4) | $0 \cdot 2205$ (7) | 0.3975 (5) | 0.1184 (4) | 0.0892 |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 2706$ (6) | 0.1332 (5) | -0.0747 (3) | 0.0545 |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 3668$ (6) | $0 \cdot 1273$ (6) | -0.0676 (3) | 0.0865 |
| C(7) | $0 \cdot 2490$ (7) | $0 \cdot 1888$ (6) | -0.1127 (3) | 0.0868 |
| C(8) | 0.2307 (7) | 0.0593 (5) | -0.0881 (3) | 0.0940 |
| C(9) | 0.0380 (7) | 0.1349 (5) | 0.0798 (3) | 0.0705 |
| C(10) | 0.1241 (14) | 0.1202 (11) | 0.1038 (7) | 0.0747 |
| C(11) | 0.0068 (14) | 0.0599 (9) | 0.0526 (7) | 0.0827 |
| C(12) | -0.0356 (12) | 0.1651 (11) | 0.1109 (7) | 0.0874 |
| C(13) | 0.4863 (7) | -0.1235 (5) | 0.2535 (4) | 0.0684 |
| C(14) | 0.5807 (8) | -0.1065 (6) | 0.2643 (4) | 0.1061 |
| C(15) | 0.4395 (8) | -0.1468 (6) | 0.2991 (4) | 0.1030 |
| C(16) | 0.4773 (8) | -0.1812 (5) | 0.2140 (4) | 0.0975 |
| C(17) | 0.4826 (6) | $0 \cdot 1029$ (4) | 0.0946 (3) | 0.0442 |
| C(18) | 0.4355 (6) | 0.1711 (5) | 0.0785 (3) | 0.0685 |
| C(19) | 0.5772 (6) | $0 \cdot 1172$ (6) | $0 \cdot 1025$ (3) | 0.0782 |
| C(20) | 0.4691 (7) | 0.0420 (5) | 0.0583 (3) | 0.0752 |
| C(21) | 0.2752 (6) | $0 \cdot 1228$ (5) | $0 \cdot 2555$ (4) | 0.0717 |
| C(22) | 0.2385 (14) | 0.0738 (13) | 0.2161 (8) | 0.0701 |
| C(23) | 0.2470 (13) | $0 \cdot 1980$ (11) | $0 \cdot 2282$ (8) | 0.0976 |
| C(24) | 0.2463 (13) | $0 \cdot 1287$ (13) | $0 \cdot 3079$ (7) | 0.1007 |
| $\mathrm{C}(X 1)$ | 0.0166 (19) | 0.1794 (17) | 0.1292 (11) | 0.0261 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(X_{2}\right)$ | -0.0330 (21) | 0.0799 (19) | 0.0672 (12) | 0.0214 |
| $\mathrm{C}(X 3)$ | 0.1294 (21) | 0.0991 (15) | 0.0864 (11) | 0.0274 |
| $\mathrm{C}(Y 1)$ | 0.2403 (27) | 0.0506 (21) | 0.2338 (12) | 0.0174 |
| C(Y2) | 0.2504 (24) | 0.2047 (20) | 0.2599 (11) | 0.0357 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(Y_{3}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 2508$ (22) | 0.0824 (16) | 0.3056 (12) | 0.0269 |

(Trefonas, Mathews \& Lipscomb, 1961) and the boron-phosphorus ring in $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PBH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ (Hamilton, 1955). The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ distances range from 1.899 (10) to 1.978 (10) $\AA$, with a mean value of 1.931 (7) $\AA$. This distance is considerably longer than the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ distance $(1.82 \AA \text { ) in the trimeric ( } \mathrm{BrBS})_{3}$ compound containing the cyclic $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ core (Zronkova, 1959). It should be noted, however, that the latter is a rather inaccurate structure and the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ values are highly uncertain. $(\mathrm{BrBS})_{3}$ is the only other crystallographically characterized compound which contains a similar but apparently not identical $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ core (the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring was found to be planar). The average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ are also longer than the ones found for the cyclic $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{16}$ (Krebs \& Hürter, 1980) which has a porphyrin-like structure, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S} 1.805$ (11) $\AA$ [range 1.789 (3)-1.822 (3) $\AA$ ] and polymeric $\mathrm{BS}_{2}$ (Krebs \& Hürter, 1981) with $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}=1.802$ (13) $\AA$. This increase by $\sim 0 \cdot 13 \AA$ is as expected for going from bridging sulfide to bridging mercaptide ligands.

The disposition of the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups relative to the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ chair ring is identical in both $\left[\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ molecules.

Table 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$


Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) view and labelling scheme for the [(Bu'S) $\left.\mathrm{BH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ trimer. Only one set of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups is shown for the disordered $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ group [C(9)].



Fig. 2. The orientation of the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups relative to the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ 'chairs' in the two independent molecules.

Two $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups in each of the two independent molecules occupy equatorial positions [groups attached to $S(1), S(2)$ and $S(4), S(5)]$. The third $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ group in each of the two molecules occupies an axial position [on $S(3)$ and $S(6)]$. The orientation of these groups is depicted in Fig. 2. This arrangement of the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ substituents apparently is a solid-state effect. In solution and at room temperature the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups are indistinguishable and only a single ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonance is observed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution with a $\delta$ value of 2.01 .

The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}$ angles range from 103.3 (4) to $110.9(4)^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ angles range from 102.6 (5) to $111 \cdot 1(5)^{\circ}$. The disposition of the $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups (axial vs equatorial, Fig. 2) seems to affect the magnitude of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ angles in a systematic fashion. The B-S-B angles adjacent to the axially-disposed $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups in each of the two independent molecules [at 110.9 (4) and 109.4 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ respectively for $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-$ $B(3)$ and $B(4)-S(6)-B(5)]$ are significantly larger than the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}$ angles associated with the equatorial $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups. The latter are found at $103 \cdot 3$ (4) and 104.3 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ and at 104.4 (5) and 105.4 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ in the two independent molecules.

In a similar systematic fashion, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ angles opposite to the S atoms with axial $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ groups $[S(1)-B(1)-S(2)$ and $S(4)-B(6)-S(5)$, Fig. 2] are small [ $103.0(5)$ and $102 \cdot 6(5)^{\circ}$ ]. In contrast, the remaining $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ angles are larger with values of
$110 \cdot 8(5), 111 \cdot 1(5), 109 \cdot 0(5)$ and $109 \cdot 0(5)^{\circ}$ (Table 2).

The financial support of this project by the National Science Foundation (CH-83-0389) is gratefully acknowledged.
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# 1,6-Dimethyl-1 $\alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 a \alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \beta, 8 a \alpha$-hexahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene-5,8-diol 
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#### Abstract

C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}, M_{r}=206 \cdot 26\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=9.830$ (2), $\quad b=9.618$ (3), $\quad c=12.584$ (4) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $111.29(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=1108.5(6) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.24 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad D_{m}$ not measured, $\quad \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=$ $1.54178 \AA, \mu=0.61 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=448, T=295 \mathrm{~K}$, $R=0.077$ for 1252 unique observed data. The X-ray study showed that the hydroxyl groups are on the same side of the six-membered ring and also showed the relative positions of the two methyl groups. There is a cis junction between the two six-membered rings, both of which are in a boat conformation. There is one intramolecular $\mathrm{OH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond ( $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=$


$2.82 \AA$ ) and one intermolecular $\mathrm{OH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond ( $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2 \cdot 80 \AA$ ).

Introduction. A study of the Diels-Alder reaction of substituted cyclopentadienes with substituted $p$-benzoquinones has been undertaken as part of a program that involves the synthesis of novel substituted polycyclic 'cage' molecules (Marchand, Suri, Earlywine, Powell \& van der Helm, 1984; Marchand, 1987). Thermolysis of methylcyclopentadiene dimer affords a mixture of 1 and 2-methylcyclopentadienes (Csicsery, 1960). DielsAlder cycloaddition of this diene mixture to 2 -methyl-
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